MONETARY PENALTIES FOR BREACH OF THE COMPETITION AND CONSUMER ACT: SEVEN QUESTIONS ABOUT THE OECD REPORT (2018)

Competition Law Discussion Group

Sydney, December 2018

Brent Fisse*

- 1. Does the OECD Report make out a persuasive case for increasing monetary penalties under the CCA?
- 2. Does the OECD Report make out a persuasive case for adopting a structured approach (using a base penalty amount with weightings for aggravating and mitigating factors) for assessing the amount of monetary penalties under the CCA?
- 3. Does the OECD Report come adequately to grips with gaps/flaws in the French and *NW Frozen Foods* factors?
- 4. Does the OECD Report seek to reach an articulated and justified balance between corporate and individual liability?
- 5. The OECD Report proceeds on the basis of an economic theory of "optimal" monetary penalties. Is that theory satisfactory? Are there alternative and more cogent theories of deterrence/prevention?
- 6. What does the OECD Report say about the problems associated with monetary penalties against corporations, including pass through to consumers and shareholders and indirect or non-existent impact on the managers responsible?
- 7. What does the OECD Report say about the procedural and evidentiary difficulties that now arise in determining the factual basis upon which monetary penalties are assessed?

References:

Australian Competition and Consumer Commission v Yazaki Corporation [2018] FCAFC 73 (16 May 2018)

OECD, Pecuniary Penalties for Competition Law Infringements in Australia (March 2018)

C Beaton-Wells & J Clarke, "Corporate financial penalties for cartel conduct in Australia: A critique" (2018)

L Woodward, "Federal Court of Australia and Law Council of Australia Competition Law Conference – Penalties" (31 August 2018)

B Fisse, "Australian Cartel Law: Biopsies" Pt V (Competition Law Conference May 2018)

C Hodges & R Steinholtz, Ethical Business Practice and Regulation (OUP, 2017) ch 3

B Fisse, "Redress facilitation as a sanction against corporations" (2018) 37 UQLJ 85, 94, 104-5