

---

# Contents

*Foreword by The Hon Peter Heerey QC* page v

*Foreword by Professor George Hay* vii

*About the authors* xxi

*Preface* xxiii

*Acknowledgements* xxv

*Abbreviations* xxix

## 1 Introduction 1

- 1.1 Why focus on anti-cartel law and enforcement? 1
- 1.2 A new anti-cartel regime for Australia – background 3
- 1.3 Aims, scope and structure of this book 7

## 2 The legal framework governing cartel conduct 10

- 2.1 Introduction – a complex regime 10
- 2.2 Outline of the statutory regime 10
- 2.3 Coverage of the statutory regime 13
  - 2.3.1 Entity coverage 13
  - 2.3.2 Territorial coverage 14
  - 2.3.3 Temporal coverage 18
- 2.4 The criminal/civil divide 19
  - 2.4.1 Flaws in the dishonesty proposal 19
    - 2.4.1.1 A dishonesty element is incapable of limiting a cartel offence to serious cartel conduct 20
    - 2.4.1.2 A dishonesty element does not provide a basis for adequately differentiating criminal from civil liability 20
    - 2.4.1.3 The test for dishonesty is uncertain and vulnerable to unmeritorious denials of liability 20
    - 2.4.1.4 A dishonesty element does not accurately label or signal the subject matter of cartel offences 22
    - 2.4.1.5 Questionable precedent for a dishonesty element in a cartel offence 23
  - 2.4.2 Unworkability of suggested alternatives 23
  - 2.4.3 Questionable aspects of the current approach 25
    - 2.4.3.1 Both civil and criminal prohibitions suffer from overreach and uncertainty 25
    - 2.4.3.2 The criminal and civil prohibitions are inadequately distinguished 27
    - 2.4.3.3 Determination of seriousness should not be left substantially to prosecutorial discretion and/or sentencing 28
    - 2.4.3.4 Provision for authorisation is not a sufficient cure for overreach and uncertainty 31

x CONTENTS

2.4.4	A more comprehensive and systematic approach	31
2.5	Conclusion	34
<b>3</b>	<b>Collusion (contracts, arrangements, understandings)</b>	<b>36</b>
3.1	Introduction – the law in flux on collusion	36
3.2	A spectrum of dealings	39
3.3	Conceptual boundaries	43
3.4	Looking overseas for workable models	47
3.4.1	The approach taken in the US and the EU	47
3.4.2	Equating ‘understanding’ with ‘concerted practice’?	52
3.5	Evidentiary considerations	58
3.5.1	Problems with the ACCC’s 2007 list of proposed factual matters	59
3.5.2	Additional complications	65
3.6	Meaning of ‘makes’ a contract or arrangement, ‘arrives at’ an understanding or ‘gives effect to’ a provision	67
3.6.1	‘Makes’ or ‘arrives at’	68
3.6.2	‘Gives effect to’	68
3.6.2.1	Purposes of the ‘gives effect to’ offence and prohibitions	69
3.6.2.2	Meaning of ‘gives effect to’	69
3.7	Parties to a contract, arrangement or understanding	71
3.8	Conclusion – the need for clarity and restraint in defining collusion	73
<b>4</b>	<b>Cartel and other provisions</b>	<b>75</b>
4.1	Introduction – the pivotal element of a provision	75
4.2	Classification of provisions	76
4.2.1	Basic economic principles relevant to classification	77
4.2.2	The US approach to classification	79
4.2.3	The EU approach to classification	83
4.2.4	The Australian approach to classification	86
4.3	Price-fixing provisions	89
4.3.1	Price-fixing purpose, effect or likely effect	90
4.3.1.1	Purpose	90
4.3.1.2	Effect or likely effect	93
4.3.2	Fixing, controlling, maintaining or providing for the fixing, controlling or maintaining of a price, discount, rebate, allowance or credit	95
4.3.2.1	Fixing	95
4.3.2.2	Maintaining	96
4.3.2.3	Controlling	97
4.3.2.4	Providing for	99
4.3.2.5	Price, discount, rebate, allowance or credit	100
4.3.3	Goods or services supplied or acquired, or likely to be supplied or acquired, by the parties to the contract, arrangement or understanding, or re-supplied, or likely to be re-supplied, by persons or classes of persons supplied or likely to be supplied by any or all of the parties	100
4.4	Exclusionary provisions	102
4.4.1	Nature of the exclusionary purpose	104
4.4.2	Object(s) of the exclusionary purpose	105
4.4.3	Reform proposals	108
4.5	Output restriction provisions	111
4.5.1	Output restriction purpose	112
4.5.2	Production, capacity and supply	114

4.5.2.1	Production	115
4.5.2.2	Capacity	116
4.5.2.3	Supply	116
4.6	Market allocation provisions	118
4.6.1	Market allocation purpose	119
4.6.2	Allocation	120
4.7	Bid-rigging provisions	121
4.7.1	Bid-rigging purpose	122
4.7.2	Joint bidding	125
4.8	SLC provisions	127
4.9	Conclusion – the need for reformulation underpinned by a more principled and more flexible approach	131
<b>5</b>	<b>Fault elements of the cartel offences</b>	<b>135</b>
5.1	Introduction – the cartel offences and their physical and fault elements	135
5.2	Fault elements – a quintessential feature of the cartel offences	137
5.2.1	Main fault elements of the cartel offences	137
5.2.2	Fault concepts	137
5.2.3	Coverage and application of the fault elements	139
5.3	Intention	140
5.3.1	Intention to make a contract or arrangement or arrive at an understanding	140
5.3.2	Intention to give effect to a cartel provision	142
5.4	Knowledge or belief that a contract, arrangement or understanding contains a cartel provision	142
5.4.1	The subject matter that must be known or believed	142
5.4.2	Knowledge	143
5.4.3	Belief	145
5.4.4	‘Wilful blindness’	147
5.4.5	Knowledge or belief as to the purpose of the cartel provision alleged	150
5.4.6	Degree of detail of which D must be aware	151
5.5	Ignorance and mistake of fact or law	152
5.5.1	Ignorance or mistake of fact	152
5.5.2	Ignorance or mistake of law	153
5.6	Fault elements applicable in relation to a ‘cartel provision’ as reformulated in Chapter 4	155
5.7	Conclusion – the ultimate challenge of formulating workable jury directions	156
5.7.1	The complexity of the cartel offences and the failure to reverse engineer their elements on the basis of conceivably workable jury directions	156
5.7.2	Towards model jury directions	157
<b>6</b>	<b>Individual liability for cartel conduct</b>	<b>158</b>
6.1	Introduction – the symbol of jail and illusions of individual accountability	158
6.2	Individual liability for cartel conduct as a principal party	159
6.2.1	The basis for individual liability for cartel conduct as a principal party	159

xii CONTENTS

6.2.2	Individual liability as a principal party on the basis of personal responsibility	160
6.2.2.1	Introduction	160
6.2.2.2	Individual liability for cartel conduct as a principal party for allowing or authorising cartel conduct	161
6.2.3	Individual liability for cartel conduct as a principal on the basis of vicarious responsibility	165
6.3	Individual liability for complicity in cartel conduct	167
6.3.1	The various bases of liability for complicity in cartel conduct	168
6.3.2	Physical elements of individual liability for complicity in cartel conduct on the basis of personal responsibility	169
6.3.2.1	Introduction	169
6.3.2.2	Liability for complicity on the basis of an omission to intervene	170
6.3.2.3	Is liability for complicity in cartel conduct limited by a special requirement of wrongfulness?	173
6.3.3	Fault elements of individual liability for complicity in cartel conduct on the basis of personal responsibility	173
6.3.3.1	Fault elements of liability for aiding, abetting, counselling or procuring	174
6.3.3.2	Fault elements of being knowingly concerned in a cartel offence or contravention	175
6.3.3.3	Fault elements of complicity in relation to the fault elements of the principal offence or contravention	176
6.3.3.4	Fault elements of complicity in relation to the type of principal offence or contravention committed	178
6.3.3.5	Fault elements of complicity in relation to the mode of commission of the principal offence or contravention	179
6.3.3.6	Ignorance or mistake	181
6.3.4	Liability for complicity in cartel conduct on the basis of vicarious responsibility	181
6.3.5	Application of exceptions and defences to liability for complicity	182
6.3.6	Simplifying and otherwise improving the definition of complicity in cartel conduct	182
6.4	Individual inchoate liability for cartel conduct – attempt, conspiracy, inducement and attempted inducement	184
6.4.1	The thicket of inchoate liability for cartel conduct	184
6.4.2	Double inchoate liability	185
6.5	Tacit implication and the problem of the ‘shut-eyed sentry’	186
6.5.1	Shut-eyed sentries and the ‘insulated conductor’ stratagem	186
6.5.2	A difficult challenge	189
6.6	Availability of corporate liability and the problem of limited individual accountability for cartel conduct	191
6.6.1	Introduction – corporate versus individual accountability	191
6.6.2	Individual accountability for cartel conduct and enforcement discretion	192
6.6.3	Individual accountability for cartel conduct and corporate internal disciplinary systems	195
6.6.4	Individual accountability for cartel conduct, corporate liability and efficiency	198
6.7	Sidewinder liability	199
6.7.1	Offences relating to the administration of justice	200

6.7.1.1	TPA ss 155(5) and 154R(2)	200
6.7.1.2	<i>Criminal Code</i> offences	201
6.7.1.3	<i>Crimes Act</i> offences	202
6.7.1.4	Offences of concealing or compounding a cartel offence	202
6.7.2	Money laundering and forfeiture of proceeds of crime	203
6.7.2.1	Money-laundering offences	203
6.7.2.2	Forfeiture orders	204
6.7.3	Offences relating to organised crime	205
6.7.3.1	Associating in support of serious organised criminal activity	205
6.7.3.2	Supporting a criminal organisation	205
6.7.3.3	Directing an activity of a criminal organisation	206
6.8	Conclusion – towards solutions and away from black magic	207
<b>7</b>	<b>Corporate liability</b>	<b>209</b>
7.1	Corporate criminal and civil liability under the Australian anti-cartel regime	209
7.1.1	Overview of the TPA provisions relating to corporate liability for cartel conduct	209
7.1.2	Pressure testing the principles and rules relating to corporate liability	212
7.2	Policy reasons for having corporate as well as individual criminal and civil liability for cartel conduct	213
7.2.1	Profoundly suspect individualistic conceptions of liability for cartel conduct	213
7.2.2	Inability of methodological individualism to explain corporate action and corporate responsibility	214
7.2.3	Heroic assumptions about the deterrent capability of individual criminal liability	216
7.2.4	Unfounded assumptions about the deterrent incapability of corporate criminal liability	218
7.2.5	Retributive punishment of corporations and corporate fault	218
7.2.6	Corporate criminal liability as a less drastic avenue of deterrence or retribution than individual criminal liability	220
7.2.7	Conclusion as regards the policy of corporate criminal liability for cartel conduct	220
7.3	Corporate capacity to be held criminally or civilly liable for cartel conduct	221
7.3.1	Various rules govern corporate capacity to be held liable under Pt IV of the TPA	221
7.3.2	A corporation must be of the type required for corporate liability under the TPA	222
7.3.3	An unincorporated association is not subject to corporate liability under the TPA	223
7.3.4	Governmental authorities are subject to liability so far as they carry on a business	224
7.3.5	A corporation is not liable for conduct committed before incorporation or before or after dissolution but a successor corporation may be liable for the conduct of a predecessor corporation	227
7.4	Principles governing the attribution of conduct and fault to a corporation	229

xiv CONTENTS

7.4.1	Overview of the major issues relating to corporate fault and vicarious responsibility	229
7.4.2	Should the general principle of corporate fault under the <i>Criminal Code</i> have been excluded from the cartel offences?	229
7.4.3	Corporate negligence under s 12.4 of the <i>Criminal Code</i>	232
7.4.4	Limiting the application of s 84(1) and (2) to cartel offences where the director, employee or agent acted with intent to benefit the corporation	233
7.4.5	A defence of corporate reasonable precautions and due diligence	234
7.4.5.1	A defence of corporate reasonable precautions and due diligence – too easy to establish?	235
7.4.5.2	A defence of corporate reasonable precautions and due diligence – an insufficient incentive to prevent the commission of cartel offences?	238
7.4.5.3	A defence of corporate reasonable precautions and due diligence – an unjustified departure from the general principle requiring proof of criminal liability beyond a reasonable doubt?	239
7.4.6	Can vicarious responsibility be imposed in relation to a subjective fault element by combining the mental states of a number of representatives to create a collective construct of intention, purpose, knowledge or belief?	240
7.4.7	To what extent does vicarious responsibility extend to unauthorised conduct?	242
7.4.8	Does vicarious responsibility extend to the conduct and fault of a subsidiary corporation?	244
7.5	Corporate cartel conduct	246
7.5.1	Looking beyond the humanoid model of cartel conduct under the TPA	246
7.5.2	Corporateness and the concept of a concerted practice under EU competition law	247
7.5.3	Repeated cartel conduct and the concept of the ‘whole cartel’	248
7.5.4	Corporate ancillary liability	249
7.5.5	Corporate ratification and reactive disregard of cartel conduct	250
7.6	Principles governing the attribution of an exception to a corporation	253
7.6.1	Whose state of mind counts as that of a corporation where an exception requires a state of mind?	253
7.6.2	A solution	255
7.7	Conclusion – towards greater recognition of the corporateness of corporate cartel conduct	256
8	Exceptions	259
8.1	Introduction – the importance of exceptions under the anti-cartel legislation and their current state of disarray	259
8.2	Related corporations, dual listed companies and partnerships	262
8.2.1	Related corporations	262
8.2.2	Dual listed companies	264
8.2.3	Partnerships	266
8.3	Joint ventures	267
8.3.1	The joint venture exceptions	267
8.3.2	The joint venture requirement	270

8.3.2.1	‘Joint venture’	270
8.3.2.2	The requirement under ss 44ZZRO and 44ZZRP that the joint venture be ‘for the production and/or supply of goods or services’	273
8.3.3	The requirement of a contract or contract proxy	274
8.3.3.1	Retrospective application of the contract requirement	275
8.3.3.2	Umbrella joint venture contracts	276
8.3.3.3	Pre-contractual joint venture arrangements or understandings	279
8.3.3.4	Contract proxy requirement that each party have the requisite intention and reasonable belief	280
8.3.3.5	The contract requirement is an ineffective response to the problem of sham joint ventures	281
8.3.4	The requirement that the cartel provision or exclusionary provision be ‘for the purposes of a joint venture’	282
8.3.4.1	Obscurities persist	282
8.3.4.2	The ‘purposes of the joint venture’	284
8.3.4.3	‘For the purposes of a joint venture’	287
8.3.4.4	The need or otherwise for a competition test as an element of a joint venture exception	291
8.3.4.5	Creating a new exception for collaborative ventures between competitors	292
8.3.5	Conclusion as regards the joint venture exceptions	295
8.4	Collective bargaining and collective acquisition	296
8.4.1	Collective bargaining	296
8.4.2	Collective acquisition and joint advertising	298
8.5	Anti-overlap of cartel prohibitions with non-cartel prohibitions	299
8.5.1	The anti-overlap exceptions	299
8.5.2	Exclusive dealing exceptions	300
8.5.3	Acquisition of shares or assets exceptions	301
8.5.4	Resale price maintenance exceptions	302
8.6	Supply agreements between competitors	303
8.6.1	The failure of the TPA to exclude economically beneficial or innocuous supply agreements between competitors from the operation of the cartel prohibitions	303
8.6.2	Supply agreements containing a cartel provision that is not covered by any exception other than authorisation	304
8.6.3	Input supply agreements containing a cartel provision that is not covered by any exception other than authorisation	304
8.6.4	Reciprocal supply agreements containing cartel provisions and exclusionary provisions that are not covered by any exception other than authorisation	307
8.6.5	A specific exception for supply agreements between competitors	307
8.7	Standard-setting	309
8.7.1	The exception under s 51(2)(c)	309
8.7.2	The main issues in standard-setting that have yet to be addressed and how they should be addressed	310
8.8	Intellectual property	312
8.8.1	The exceptions under s 51(3)	312
8.8.2	The need for and the implications of fundamentally reassessing the relationship between intellectual property rights and cartel prohibitions	314

xvi CONTENTS

8.9	Export arrangements	316
8.9.1	The s 51(2)(g) exception	316
8.9.2	Does the s 51(2)(g) exception have a cogent rationale?	317
8.10	Liner cargo shipping services	318
8.10.1	The Pt X exemptions	318
8.10.2	Are the Pt X exemptions justified?	320
8.11	Underwriting arrangements and funding syndicates	321
8.12	Settlement of litigation	322
8.13	Authorisation	324
8.13.1	Authorisation under s 88	324
8.13.2	Conduct preliminary to a grant of authorisation	326
8.13.3	The role of authorisation	327
8.14	Withdrawal	328
8.14.1	Withdrawal under the TPA – navigating the maze	328
8.14.2	Withdrawal and criminal or civil liability as a principal for making a contract or arrangement or arriving at an understanding containing a cartel provision or exclusionary provision	329
8.14.3	Withdrawal and criminal or civil liability as a principal for giving effect to a cartel provision or exclusionary provision	330
8.14.4	Withdrawal and criminal or civil liability for attempting to commit a cartel offence or attempting to contravene a civil prohibition against cartel conduct	331
8.14.5	Withdrawal and criminal or civil liability for complicity in a cartel offence or breach of a civil prohibition against cartel conduct	331
8.14.6	Withdrawal and criminal or civil liability for conspiracy to commit a cartel offence or conspiracy to contravene a civil prohibition against cartel conduct	332
8.14.7	Withdrawal and criminal or civil liability for inducing or attempting to induce the commission of a cartel offence or the contravention of a civil prohibition against cartel conduct	333
8.14.8	Conclusion as regards withdrawal	333
8.15	Honest and reasonable conduct that ought fairly to be excused	333
8.16	De minimis conduct	334
8.17	Conclusion – the need for functional reconstruction	335
9	Enforcement policy	337
9.1	Introduction – the role of enforcement policy in an anti-cartel regime	337
9.2	The ACCC’s <i>Compliance and Enforcement Policy</i>	338
9.3	The ACCC–CDPP MOU, ACCC <i>Investigation Guidelines</i> and CDPP <i>Prosecution Policy</i>	341
9.3.1	Agency roles	342
9.3.2	Decision-making criteria	348
9.3.2.1	Investigation	349
9.3.2.2	Referral	352
9.3.2.3	Prosecution	358
9.3.3	Dual proceedings	362
9.3.3.1	The statutory protection under s 76B	363
9.3.3.2	The meaning of ‘substantially the same’ conduct	364
9.3.3.3	Concurrent versus sequential proceedings	365
9.3.3.4	Civil proceedings after criminal proceedings	368
9.3.3.5	Criminal proceedings after civil proceedings	371

9.4	Conclusion – the need for policy consolidation and extension	375
<b>10</b>	<b>Immunity and cooperation policies</b>	<b>378</b>
10.1	Introduction – the role of immunity and cooperation policies in anti-cartel law enforcement	378
10.2	Immunity and cooperation policies under a dual civil/criminal regime	380
10.2.1	Immunity policy	380
10.2.1.1	Immunity from civil proceedings	383
10.2.1.2	Immunity from criminal proceedings	388
10.2.2	Cooperation policy	392
10.2.2.1	Cooperation in civil proceedings	394
10.2.2.2	Cooperation in criminal proceedings	398
10.2.2.3	The Canadian approach to cooperation policy	403
10.3	Immunity and cooperation policies and private enforcement	405
10.3.1	The ACCC's traditional approach to disclosure	407
10.3.2	The 'PCI' scheme	410
10.3.2.1	Section 157C	412
10.3.2.2	Section 157B	414
10.3.3	Overseas approaches to disclosure	415
10.3.3.1	US	415
10.3.3.2	EU	417
10.4	Conclusion – a call for a more coherent and effective approach	419
<b>11</b>	<b>Sanctions</b>	<b>421</b>
11.1	Introduction – inadequacies and uncertainties in sanctions design and application	421
11.2	The statutory scheme governing sanctions for cartel conduct	423
11.3	Civil sanctions	424
11.3.1	Level of pecuniary penalties against corporations	424
11.3.2	Influence of ACCC settlements on penalty assessments	433
11.3.2.1	Approach to 'negotiated' penalties	433
11.3.2.2	Impact of 'negotiated' penalties	436
11.3.3	Approach to penalty assessment	438
11.3.3.1	Base fine	440
11.3.3.2	Adjustments for aggravating and mitigating factors	443
11.3.3.3	Conclusions regarding the approach to penalty assessment	445
11.3.4	Penalty maxima based on benefit and turnover	446
11.3.4.1	The benefit maximum	447
11.3.4.2	The turnover maximum	450
11.3.5	Non-monetary sanctions against corporations	453
11.3.5.1	Alternatives to monetary penalties under the TPA	453
11.3.5.2	Probation orders	455
11.3.5.3	Community service orders	457
11.3.5.4	Information disclosure orders and advertisement orders	459
11.3.5.5	Adverse publicity orders	460
11.3.6	Level of pecuniary penalties against individuals	462
11.3.7	Disqualification orders	464
11.3.7.1	Rationale for disqualification orders	465
11.3.7.2	Length of disqualification orders	466

xviii CONTENTS

	11.3.7.3	Effectiveness of disqualification orders	469
	11.3.8	Ban on indemnification	470
11.4		Criminal sanctions	472
	11.4.1	State of federal sentencing law	472
	11.4.2	Approach to sentencing for cartel offences	473
	11.4.3	Sentencing purposes	475
	11.4.4	Sentencing principles	478
	11.4.4.1	Proportionality	478
	11.4.4.2	Totality	481
	11.4.4.3	Parity	482
	11.4.5	Sentencing factors	483
	11.4.5.1	The nature and circumstances of the offence	485
	11.4.5.2	Other offences (if any) that are required to be taken into account	487
	11.4.5.3	If the offence forms part of a course of conduct consisting of a series of criminal acts of the same or a similar character – that course of conduct	488
	11.4.5.4	The personal circumstances of any victim of the offence	488
	11.4.5.5	Any loss, injury or damage resulting from the offence	489
	11.4.5.6	The degree to which the person has shown contrition for the offence	492
	11.4.5.7	If the person has pleaded guilty to the charge in respect of the offence – that fact; and the degree to which the person has cooperated with law enforcement agencies in the investigation of the offence or of other offences	493
	11.4.5.8	The deterrent effect that any sentence or order under consideration may have on the person	496
	11.4.5.9	The need to ensure that the person is adequately punished for the offence	496
	11.4.5.10	The character, antecedents, cultural background, age, means and physical or mental condition of the person	497
	11.4.5.11	The prospect of rehabilitation of the person	498
	11.4.5.12	The probable effect that any sentence or order under consideration would have on any of the person's family or dependants	498
	11.4.5.13	Factors specific to the sentencing of corporations	499
11.4.6		Sentencing options	501
	11.4.6.1	General	501
	11.4.6.2	Fines	501
	11.4.6.3	Imprisonment	505
	11.4.6.4	Dismissals, discharges and releases	512
	11.4.6.5	State and territory options	514
	11.4.6.6	Non-monetary sanctions against corporations under the TPA	515
	11.4.6.7	Other TPA options	515
11.5		The relationship between private actions and the public enforcement regime	517
	11.5.1	Restitution as a condition of immunity	518
	11.5.2	Impact of ACCC settlements on private follow-on actions	522
	11.5.3	Compensation as a relevant factor in setting penalties	524
11.6		Conclusion – the need for greater coherence and certainty	527
	11.6.1	Civil sanctions	527

11.6.2	Criminal sanctions	529
11.6.3	The relationship between private actions and public sanctions	532
<b>12</b>	<b>Compliance and liability control</b>	<b>533</b>
12.1	Introduction – corporate implications of the anti-cartel legislation for compliance and liability control	533
12.2	Compliance and liability control as guides to corporate internal control	536
12.2.1	Compliance	536
12.2.2	Liability control	543
12.3	Traps set by the anti-cartel legislation	548
12.3.1	Identifying the traps and managing them	548
12.3.2	Giving effect to a cartel or other provision	548
12.3.3	Cartel provisions and other provisions	549
12.3.4	Fault elements of the cartel offences	551
12.3.5	Individual liability	552
12.3.6	Corporate liability	552
12.3.7	Exceptions	554
12.3.8	Sidewinder liability	555
12.4	Opportunities for adroit boundary-riding	556
12.4.1	Identifying the opportunities	556
12.4.2	Commitment to avoid commitment	559
12.4.3	Facilitating practices	560
12.4.4	JV Ultra-Lights	562
12.4.5	Conditions immunised by an intellectual property exception under s 51(3)	563
12.4.6	Insulation of senior managers via the insulated conductor stratagem	563
12.5	Conclusion – the implications of a liability control framework	564
<b>13</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>567</b>
13.1	Reflecting on the aims of this book	567
13.2	Critical assumptions	568
13.3	The strengths and weaknesses of the Australian anti-cartel regime	571
13.3.1	A comprehensive framework	571
13.3.2	Multiple objects and matching modes of enforcement	572
13.3.3	Rules reflecting economic seriousness	573
13.3.4	Fault-based liability	574
13.3.5	Rules that are workable	575
13.3.6	Individual accountability	575
13.3.7	Corporate accountability	576
13.3.8	Enforcement policy	577
13.3.9	Immunity and cooperation policy	577
13.3.10	Effective sanctions	578
13.3.11	Compliance and liability control	579
13.4	Managing the future of the Australian anti-cartel regime	580
	<i>Appendix: Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth) Pt IV Div 1; Pt IV Div 2 s 45</i>	583
	<i>Index</i>	601